

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,907	12/24/2001	Richard O. Hilson	10011146-1	2166
7590 04/12/2004			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/035,907	HILSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leigh McKane	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	February 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 and 58-81 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ⊠ Claim(s) 58-61 and 72-81 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 and 62-71 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct at 1). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language process.	nts have been received. Into have been received in Applicate only documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(inst sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120.	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	o □ 1:11 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· (DTO 442) D== = 11 ( )			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/035,907

Art Unit: 1744

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takagi (U.S. Patent No. 6,248,672).

With respect to claims 1, 5, 6, and 16, Takagi teaches an apparatus for storing a plurality of vertical supports 8 wherein the apparatus includes a mechanism 2 for diffusively introducing pressurized gas into the apparatus, an outlet element having a plurality of openings 37a in fluid communication with the mechanism, and a holding chamber 1 disposed such that gas flow therethrough is *substantially* unidirectional and *substantially* parallel to the supports, the holding chamber having an opening for removing the supports 8 and a plurality of elements 34,39 for holding the supports. See Figures 11-13. As to the intended use recitation in the preamble, "for storing a plurality of supports having a plurality of chemical compounds/biopolymers bound thereto at individual sites thereon," a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 10/035,907

Art Unit: 1744

As to claims 3, 4, and 15, the mechanism 2 includes a gas inlet and a manifold comprising one compartment in fluid communication with the gas inlet. The gas inlet is perpendicular to the axis of the openings 37a in the outlet element.

With respect to claim 8, the apparatus for Takagi is certainly capable of introducing gas at a pressure of 60-80 psi.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7, 62, 63, 70, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takegi in view of Yamaga et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,484,484).

Takegi is silent with respect to the use of a valve in the gas inlet. Yamaga et al, however, teaches a similar apparatus for processing wafers wherein the gas inlet line 4 includes a valve V1. See Figure 2. As valves are known and used in the art for control of gas flow, it would have been obvious to provide a valve in the gas inlet of Takegi.

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 9-14, and 64-69 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1744

6. Claims 58-61 and 72-81 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 25 February 2004 concerning the restriction requirement have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 8. As set forth in the previous office action, claims 40-57 are not combinable with Group I, claims 1-16, because group I is drawn to a storage *apparatus*. Claims 40-57 are drawn to a *method* of storing substrates, grouped with claims 22-28 in Group III. The apparatus as claimed in Group I can be used for a method other than that claimed by applicant. Support for this argument is evidenced in the rejection in the preceding paragraphs, wherein applicants apparatus claims are rejectable by a device used to process silicon wafers.
- 9. With respect to Applicant's arguments concerning Takegi, the Examiner notes that the claims require only that the gas flow be "substantially unidirectional and substantially parallel to said supports" (emphasis added). The gas flow in Takegi is indeed substantially unidirectional and substantially parallel.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (7:15 am-4:45 pm).

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1275. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leigh McKane

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

elm

5 April 2004